

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,523	09/21/2001	Roland M. Hochmuth	10010901 -1	5310
7590 02/17/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGUYEN, HAU H	
	Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, (	CO 80527-2400	2676		
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/960,523	HOCHMUTH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hau H Nguyen	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
	EDIVIQUET TO EVDIDE 02 M	MONITU(S) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the i earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 December 2003.				
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for all					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been r	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachmant/a)					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Caraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s).	/Mail Date			
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	B/08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
aper recipional Date	o) 🗀 Other	<b>-</b> ∙			

Art Unit: 2676

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are 1. not persuasive. In response to Applicant's arguments that reference Schneider et al. does not teach all the limitations of the invention, the examiner disagrees. As stated in previous Office Action, Schneider et al. teach a method for communicating across a network as shown in Fig. 1A, comprising an analyzing digitizer control application 240 (Fig. 3) for tracking which blocks change between successive screen captures. To track those changes, the analyzing digitizer control application 240 double buffers the digital video information received from the device driver. In this way, the analyzing digitizer control application 240 can compare (comparison logic) (1) the screen information stored in a first buffer for a previous frame (a frame buffer memory); and (2) the screen information stored in a second buffer for the image currently being captured (a temporary memory). Having identified the changed blocks, the analyzing digitizer control application 240 then need only redraw the changed areas as they change. The remote control software 200 then captures and transmits those changed blocks (a transmission logic) (see col. 8, lines 25-42). Since the claim language is given the broadest reasonable interpretation, and since reference Schneider et al. meets the minimum requirements of the claimed invention, rejections are maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 2676

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneider et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,304,895).

Referring to claims 1-4, 14, and 16-17, and 19, Schneider et al. teach a method for communicating graphics across a network as shown in Fig. 1A, comprising a target controller 50 operates to capture the video output of the target device. The controller 50 includes a video digitizer that receives and converts the analog signals output by connected target device (col. 6, lines 14-15, and lines 26-28). The analyzing digitizer control application 240 can also improve efficiency by tracking which blocks change between successive screen captures. To track those changes, the analyzing digitizer control application 240 double buffers the digital video information received from the device driver. The analyzing digitizer control application 240 (Fig. 3) can compare (1) the screen information stored in a first buffer for a previous frame and (2) the screen information stored in a second buffer for the image currently being captured. Having identified the changed blocks, the analyzing digitizer control application 240 then need only redraw the changed areas as they change (overwriting with the compared portions). The remote control software 200 then captures and transmits those changed blocks (col. 8, lines 25-42). Schneider et al. further teach the controlling computer 12 (Fig. 1A) communicates with a target controller 50 using any selected communications protocol (e.g., TCP/IP, UDP, or RDP) (col. 5, lines 1-4) (packetized graphics information).

Referring to claims 5 and 18, Schneider et al. teach the remote control software application 200 is preferably one that performs lossless compression on the captured GDI calls before transmission (col. 7, lines 28-37).

Art Unit: 2676

In regard to claim 6, Schneider et al. further teach the controlling computer 12 (Fig. 1A) also includes a communications device 53 (a network interface) for communicating with the target device(s). Such a device 53 may include (1) a modem for connecting via a telephone connection, (2) a wireless transceiver for wirelessly communicating, and (3) a wired adapter (e.g., an Ethernet or token ring adapter) (col. 4, lines 63-67, and col. 5, line 1).

In regard to claims 7 and 8, Schneider et al. teach the target computers are server computers that are connected to a computer network and operate to perform such tasks as controlling the operation of the network, storing commonly used programs or data, or connecting a local area network (LAN) to a wide area network (WAN) (e.g., the Internet) (col. 3, lines 45-50).

Referring to claim 9, as cited above, Schneider et al. teach the controlling computer 12 (Fig. 1A) communicates with a target controller 50 using any selected communications protocol (e.g., TCP/IP, UDP, or RDP) (col. 5, lines 1-4).

In regard to claims 10-13, as shown in Figs. 1A and 1B, the communication system comprises a first video input from a local source and another video signal input from a remote source. As cited above, Schneider et al. teach previous frame is stored in a first buffer and current frame is stored in a second buffer.

Referring to claim 15, Schneider et al. teach the analyzing digitizer control application 240 can transmit the changed blocks to the remote control software 200 in either compressed or uncompressed format (col. 16, lines 47-50). Thus, it is implied that the input logic should includes a logics configured to decompressed the compressed format.

Art Unit: 2676

## Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 703-305-4104. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H. Nguyen

02/13/2004

Marker ( Bella MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600